Transboundary Discussion (Comments and questions from the MPWA Board):

1. How does the priority system work and how is it implemented?
	1. i.e/ Wapiti River – amount and quality of water that comes in to supply the largest urban centre? What category does the Wapiti Fit into?
	2. Peace River
	3. Chinchaga
	4. Other smaller tributaries: Redwillow, Pouce Coupe, etc
2. Are there ways to keep AB in the loop with the Transboundary discussions – stakeholder engagement?
3. Concerning the Peace River/Slave River, where do the agreements or agreement processes stand at this time?
4. Is AB looking for a special flow delivery objective in the Transboundary Agreement?
5. Does monitoring of the river flow and effects on delta and slave river occur? What? By who?
	1. Is land use part of transboundary discussions?
6. Environmental monitoring? Who does this and to what extent?
7. Monitoring around the Hydro dam projects? Such as Site C impacts identified to fisheries and water on AB side, BC Hydro should be monitoring validity of predictions (temp, flow, hydro peaking, etc). Is AB happy with that monitoring?
8. Flow regime questions – how is that established, what are the expectations, who verifies?
9. Spawning grounds affected by water level, flow, ice, scrubbing of the river, is there monitoring of channel changes (depth, flooding, sedimentation) and how will these be addressed under the agreements?
10. Comment: River is 60% regulated with increase to 65% with addition of Site C. Transboundary work is very important to this basin due to regulation and the fact that half of watershed is in BC and River effects the Delta/Saskatchewan/NWT.
11. Comment: Lower Peace FN and Metis of PAD action process have been less than satisfied with efforts to protect AB/BC ecological community of Peace and Park (west, east, inside). How can we move these initiatives forward?
12. Is the work that MPWA has completed i.e./SOW and IWMP been reviewed and incorporated into the Transboundary work? Is there further information we can assist with?